



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

Г	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
	10/660,149	09/11/2003	Jeffrey H. Mumm	64671-0481	4988
	20480 7	7590 03/10/2004		EXAM	INER
	STEVEN L. NICHOLS			NGUYEN, KHIEM M	
	•	RADER, FISHMAN & GRAVER PLLC 10653 S. RIVER FRONT PARKWAY SUITE 150			PAPER NUMBER
					2839
	SOUTH JORD	OAN, UT 84095		DATE MAILED: 03/10/2004	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		DV 3
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Occurred	10/660,149	MUMM ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
The MAN INC DATE of this communication of	Khiem Nguyen	2839
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeared for Reply	opears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a regilif NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti ply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fron te, cause the application to become ABANDON	mely filed ys will be considered timely. n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on  2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) Th  3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pr	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-25 is/are pending in the applicatio 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdress 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-25 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examir 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceptable and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the second and the second area of the second and the second area of the second	ccepted or b) objected to by the e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is o	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document copies of the priority document copies of the certified copies of the priority document copies of the certified copies of the priority document copies of the certified copies of the priority document copies of the certified copies of the priority document copies of the certified copies of the priority document copies of the priority document copies of the certified copies of the priority document copies.	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applica iority documents have been receiveu (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ition No ved in this National Stage
Attachment(s)  1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summar	ry (PTO-413)
<ul> <li>Notice of Neterences Cited (PTO-032)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ul>	Paper No(s)/Mail I	

Application/Control Number: 10/660,149

Art Unit: 2839

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Admitted Prior Art (APA) or EP'017 in view of Yang et al. and NPL (Lorene Baccaro).

The APA or EP'017 disclose a buffer tube for use in a fiber optic cable which comprise a blend or an alloy of polymer mixture materials which are not of HIPS and SBS as being recited in the claims of the present invention.

However, the use of a blend or an alloy of different polymers as materials for buffer tubes are old and well known as being disclosed by NPL (Lorene Baccaro).

Yang et al. discloses that it is known to made a buffer comprising an alloy of polypropylene-polyethylene copolymer.

Therefore, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skilled in the art to construct or provide the buffer tubes of the APA or EP'017 out of an alloy of a polymer mixture consisting of HIPS and SBS in view of the teachings of Yang et al. and NPL.

NPL and Yang et al. provide the suggestions or motivation for using an

Art Unit: 2839

alloy of different polymers as materials for buffer tube construction to provide better mechanical, chemical and electrical properties.

Regarding the different volume percents of polymer mixture and values or ranges of flexural modulus are deemed obvious design choice through routine experimentation, optimum ranges and use of preffered material for achieving the desired results, In re Aller, 105 USPQ 233 (CCPA 1955).

## Conclusion

3. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Hawtof and Yamamoto et al. are further cited to show optical fibers.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Khiem Nguyen whose telephone number is 571 272-2096. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lynn Feild can be reached on 571 272-2800 ext 39. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/660,149 Page 4

Art Unit: 2839

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Khe Mayen
Khiem Nguyen
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2839